Electrospray ionization as a convenient new method for the generation of catalytically active iron-oxide ions in the gas phase
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Abstract

Electrospray ionization (ESI) of aqueous iron(III) nitrate solutions can be used as an easy route for the generation of bare iron-oxide and hydroxide cations such as FeO+, FeOH+, and Fe(OH)2+ in the gas phase. Analysis of the ESI mass spectra obtained under variable conditions in combination with complementary collision experiments suggest that the iron-oxo species are formed by collision-energy driven replacements of nitrate-ligands by water stemming from the sprayed solution. It is demonstrated that the gas-phase reactivity of methane with the iron-oxide cation FeO+ generated via ESI is in good agreement with the well-documented literature data for this reaction.
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1. Introduction

In 1981, Kappes and Staley [1] reported the first, genuine gas-phase catalysis involving a transition-metal oxide. Thus, it was demonstrated that the iron-oxide cation FeO+ reacts with CO to afford Fe+ which is then re-oxidized in a second step with N2O as a reagent (reactions 1 and 2).

FeO+ + CO → Fe+ + CO2 (1)
Fe+ + N2O → FeO+ + N2 (2)

In the subsequent research, the FeO+ cation was shown to be one of the most powerful oxidants in the gas phase [2,3], capable of even oxidizing methane [4–7]. In extension of the pioneering work of Kappes and Staley, a number of catalytic cycles involving gaseous FeO+ have been reported which permit the oxygenation of several hydrocarbons [4,8–13]. In fact, FeO+ may be regarded as one of the most carefully investigated transition-metal oxide in the gas phase [3,14]. So far, the generation of FeO+ was based on the oxidation of the bare metal cation by N2O according to reaction (2). Here, we report an entirely different route for the generation of FeO+ via electrospray ionization (ESI [15,16]) of an aqueous Fe(NO3)3 solution; for earlier reports on the generation of metal-oxide ions using ESI, see [17–19].

2. Methods

The measurements were performed using a VG BIO-Q mass spectrometer described elsewhere [20]. Briefly, the VG BIO-Q is a commercial instrument which consists of an ESI source combined with a tandem mass spectrometer of QHQ configuration (Q stands for quadrupole and H for hexapole). In the present experiments, mmolar solutions of Fe(NO3)3 in distilled water were introduced through a fused-silica capillary to the ESI source via a syringe pump (5–10 μl/min). Nitrogen was used as nebulizing and drying gas at a source temperature of 120°C. For collision-induced dissociation (CID), the ions of interest were mass-selected using Q1, interacted with xenon as a collision gas in the hexapole H under single-collision conditions (typically 3 × 10−4 mbar) at variable collision energies (E_{lab} = 0–10 eV), while scanning Q2 to monitor the ionic products. Likewise, ion/molecule reactions were studied by introducing appropriate neutral compounds (i.e., methane as well as D2O) in the hexapole collision cell at variable interaction energies; thermal...
reactivity was assumed to occur at a collision energy nominally set to $E_{\text{lab}} = 0$ eV [21–23].

As pointed out previously, the VG Bio-Q does not allow to directly extract quantitative threshold information from CID experiments due to several limitations of the commercial instrument [20]. In the case of weakly bound ions [24], for example, a non-negligible amount of ion decay is observed even at $E_{\text{lab}} = 0$ eV, which is in part attributed to the presence of collision gas not only in the hexapole, but also in the focusing regions between the mass analyzers. Note that these dissociations do not correspond to metastable ions because they do not occur in the absence of a collision gas. To a first approximation, however, the energy dependence of the product distributions in the CID spectra can be approximated by a sigmoid function [25] which allows some semi-quantitative information about the energetics of the ions to be determined. Here, such an analysis is not pursued any further, however, because the gas-phase thermochemistry of FeO$, FeOH$, Fe(OH)$_2$ is established already reasonably well.

3. Results and discussion

Under mild conditions, electrospray ionization of an aqueous solution of Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$ yields Fe(NO$_3$)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_n^+$ with $n$ up to 4 as the leading cationic species. Upon increasingly harsher ESI conditions, subsequent losses of water molecules are observed down to $n=1$ and 2 (Fig. 1a). When ionization conditions are enforced further, abundant signals due to (HO)Fe(NO$_3$)$_2^+$, (H$_2$O)Fe(NO$_3$)$_3^+$, as well as (HO)Fe(NO$_3$)(H$_2$O)$_2^+$ are observed (Fig. 1b). Finally, also intense signals corresponding to Fe(OH)$_2^+$, FeOH$^+$, and FeO$^+$ appear (Fig. 1c). As the thermochemical properties and the gas-phase reactivities of these iron cations already were intensively studied [3–9,26–30], here, we focus on the method of ion generation, and in particular on the route which leads from the solvated metal-nitrate ions to the formation of bare metal oxide- and hydroxide cations.

To this end, the major ions formed upon mild ESI conditions were mass-selected and probed by means of collision-induced dissociation (CID) experiments. For the multiply solvated ions, loss of the solvent molecules largely prevails (Table 1), and the increasing ease of water losses with increasing $n$ indicates the lower binding energies of the multiply solvated cations [24]. In fact, a considerable amount of CID is even observed at a collision energy nominally set to 0 eV indicating that the water binding energies of the larger hydrated ions are in the order of magnitude of their respective internal energy content. In the case of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$E_{\text{lab}}$</th>
<th>M$^+$</th>
<th>$-\text{H}_2\text{O}$</th>
<th>$-2\text{H}_2\text{O}$</th>
<th>$-3\text{H}_2\text{O}$</th>
<th>$-\text{HNO}_3$</th>
<th>NO$_2$$^+$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3$^c$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4$^c$</td>
<td>8$^c$</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4$^c$</td>
<td>4$^c$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4$^c$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Given relative to the base peak (100%). Note that some dissociation at a collision energy set to nominally $E_{\text{lab}} = 0$ eV is observed for weakly bounded complexes in the multipole set-up (see experimental details).

$^b$ M$^+$ denotes the mass-selected parent ion in the CID experiment.

$^c$ Here, this entry corresponds to the sum of combined $\text{HNO}_3 + n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ losses.
Fe(NO₃)₂(H₂O)⁺, however, loss of water is a very minor process, whereas the major channel leads to NO₂⁺ along with the putative formation of neutral (HO)₂Fe(NO₃). The fact that the resulting cation NO₂⁺ is metal-free can be appreciated considering the large ionization energies of gaseous FeIII compounds, e.g., IE(FeCl₃) = 10.9 eV [31]. From the results, a rationale for the consecutive fragmentations of Fe(NO₃)₂(H₂O)⁺ can be proposed (Scheme 1); note that CID data for bare, unsolvated Fe(NO₃)₂⁺ cannot be provided because only traces of this ion are directly formed in the ESI source.

The most important finding within the present context is, however, that the protolysis of the monosolvated complex according to reaction (3a) is almost negligible upon CID with xenon, whereas (HO)Fe(NO₃)+ represents one of the major ions directly emerging from the ion source under medium conditions of ionization [32].

![Scheme 1.](image)

Accordingly, it is proposed that the species (HO)Fe(NO₃)+ is formed via endothermic ion/molecule reactions in the source region with water molecules stemming from the spray solvent acting as a collision gas, thereby favoring protolysis, rather than CID. The same conditions appear to also favor reduction of the iron(III) species to the formal iron(II) compound (H₂O)Fe(NO₃)+, which involves a formal reduction to iron(II) concomitant with loss of an NO₃ radical (reaction 3b).

Fe(NO₃)₂(H₂O)⁺ → (HO)Fe(NO₃)⁺ + HNO₃  
(3a)

Fe(NO₃)₂(H₂O)⁺ → (H₂O)Fe(NO₃)+ + NO₃  
(3b)

In order to probe this hypothesis, mass-selected Fe(NO₃)₂(H₂O)n⁺ ions were reacted with D₂O introduced to the hexapole collision cell at variable interaction energies. At a collision energy nominally set to 0 eV, degenerate exchange of the H₂O ligand(s) against D₂O largely predominates for all Fe(NO₃)₂(H₂O)n⁺ ions. we note further that the amount of interligand H/D exchange is negligible (i.e., the fraction of HDO complexes is small and coincides with the actual degree of deuteration of the water present in the collision cell). This finding accordingly implies that the water ligands in the Fe(NO₃)₂(H₂O)n⁺ cations are intact and that isomeric structures such as (HO)Fe(NO₃)(HNO₃)(H₂O)ᵣ⁻ do not play a significant role; for a counter-example with quite similar iron cations, see [33]. With respect to n = 1, reaction (4a) comprises ca. 90% of all products. In addition, some amount of association takes place even at only 10⁻⁴ mbar (reaction 4b, ca. 7% of all products). Most significant in the present context of iron-oxide formation is, however, the expulsion of neutral XNO₃ according to reactions (4c) and (4d) which is observed to occur to a small extent in the case of n = 1. The resulting product ions can then either lose water or a hydroxy radical (reaction 5), thereby accounting for the cations (HO)Fe(NO₃)+ and (H₂O)Fe(NO₃)+ as observed in Fig. 1b.

(HO)Fe(NO₃)₂(H₂O)⁺ → (HO)Fe(NO₃)⁺ + H₂O  
(5a)

(HO)Fe(NO₃)₂(H₂O)⁺ → (H₂O)Fe(NO₃)+ + HO  
(5b)

With regard to the generation of these iron-hydroxo species it is noteworthy that the branching ratio of the XNO₃ losses significantly increases at elevated collision energies (Fig. 2). This result lends credit to our working hypothesis that the (HO)Fe(NO₃)(H₂O)n⁺ species with n = 0–2 emerging from the ion source are formed in collision-energy driven anion-exchange reaction in the source region, where considerable amounts of the solvent vapor are still present.

Upon CID of mass-selected (HO)Fe(NO₃)+ with xenon, the metal-free cations NO₂⁺ and NO⁺ are observed as major fragments, whereas iron-containing ions such as FeOH⁺ and OFeOH⁺ are of minor abundance (Fig. 3a). Thus, also the (HO)Fe(NO₃)+ species does not appear as an obvious intermedi-
Fig. 3. CID spectra of (a) mass-selected (H2O)Fe(NO3)+ (top) and (b) mass-selected (H2O)Fe(NO3)+ (bottom). In both cases, the collision energy was adjusted to $E_{\text{lab}} = 10 \text{ eV}$ and the parent ions $M^+$ are off-scale by a factor of 2.

It can accordingly be concluded that the $[\text{FeO}_2\text{H}_2]^+$ ion formed upon ESI corresponds to the energetically more stable dihydroxide Fe(OH)$_2^+$, rather than the hydrated metal-oxide cation (H$_2$O)FeO$. From the dihydroxide cation, loss of water to afford FeO$^+$ (reaction 6a) is hence less energy demanding than loss of an HO$^-$ radical to yield FeOH$^+$ (reaction 6b), yet the latter channel is entropically favored. Accordingly, FeO$^+$ as a fragment ion stemming from Fe(OH)$_2^+$ is already observed at low collision energies, but once FeOH$^+$ formation becomes energetically accessible, it begins to predominate because it does not require a hydrogen migration [28,30].

Hence, the route for the formation of the title ions upon more drastic ESI conditions is in fact quite complex. After initial desolvation of Fe(NO$_3$)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_n^+$, a collision-induced reaction with water stemming from the spray leads to the formal iron(II) compound (H$_2$O)Fe(NO$_3$)$_2^+$ from which a NO$_2^-$ radical is lost, to again afford the iron(III) compound Fe(OH)$_2^+$, which then serves as a precursor for FeO$^+$ and FeOH$^+$. Finally, the reactivity of the ions generated via ESI is addressed briefly in order to demonstrate the usefulness of this rather simple method of ion generation. To this end, mass-selected FeO$^+$ was allowed to react with methane in the hexapole collision cell and the product ions formed were detected by scanning the next mass analyzer. Fig. 5 shows the ionic products Fe$^+$ and FeOH$^+$ in a branching ratio of (31 ± 4): (69 ± 4); this finding nicely matches previous data on this reaction, which belongs to one of most carefully studied reactions of gaseous transition-metal ions. Thus, using an ion-beam set-up similar to that used here, a ratio of 29:71 was obtained, ion-cyclotron measurements led to 39:61, whereas experiments conducted at significantly higher pressure in a selected ion-flow tube gave 82:18; the latter effect has been attributed to the particular shape of the potential-energy surface of the overall reaction [7]. In this context, we note in passing that also a trace amount of FeCH$_2^+$ is observed as ionic product (too small to be seen in Fig. 5), again fully consistent with the previous reports [4,7].
While absolute rate constants cannot be determined easily in a multipole set-up, calibration of the FeO\(^+\) + CH\(_4\) reaction with the well-known reaction of bare Pt\(^+\) with methane [34] gives a rate constant of \(k = (9 \pm 2) \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecules}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\) for the reaction of FeO\(^+\) which matches quite well within the experimental errors with the literature values [7]. Finally, we investigated, whether or not the bimolecular reaction of diatomic FeO\(^+\) with methane depends on the conditions under which the precursor cation is formed upon ESI. However, within the range of cone voltages at which sufficient yields of FeO\(^+\) are achievable, no notable changes in the bimolecular reactivity of mass-selected FeO\(^+\) were found. At the first glance, this finding may appear as a contradiction because elevated cone voltages obviously enhance fragmentation and hence increase the energy content of the ions emerging from the ESI source. Quite specifically, this is even reflected for diatomic FeO\(^+\) cation itself which vanishes at cone voltages above \(U_C = 100 \text{ V}\) due to fragmentation to bare Fe\(^+\). Moreover, clear correlations between energy content and the conditions in the ESI source have been reported for several large molecules [35]. Nevertheless, it is to be recognized that the cone region is still located in the high pressure regime of the ESI source and non-negligible time elapses before actually probing ion-reactivity in the hexapole collision cell. By analogy to arguments put forward by Chen and co-workers [36], we therefore attribute the absence of an influence of the cone voltage in the ESI source on the reactivity of mass-selected FeO\(^+\) in the hexapole collision cell to the limited number of degrees of freedom of a diatomic molecule in conjunction with the considerable amount of collision cooling occurring during the transfer from the high-pressure region of the cone to the ultra-high vacuum of the mass analyzer.

4. Conclusions

Electrospray mass spectrometry is shown to serve as a simple method for the generation of iron-oxide species such as FeO\(^+\), FeOH\(^+\), and Fe(OH)\(_2^+\) in reasonable yields. Accordingly, the same technique might also be applicable for the generation of other reactive metal-oxide ions which have so far escaped further reactivity studies due to the difficulties encountered in ion generation [37,38]. In this respect, ESI provides an alternative which prevents many of the problems inherent to other approaches. A key requisite is the use of pure water as a solvent, because all other solvents suitable for ESI are oxidized themselves by the high-reactive iron ions. Despite its simplicity, this method for ion generation via ESI is therefore limited to those instruments which can also be operated with pure water [39].
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